



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,923	03/01/2004	Nancy C. Frye	063293.0110	1435

5073 7590 11/28/2006

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.
2001 ROSS AVENUE
SUITE 600
DALLAS, TX 75201-2980

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3728

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/790,923

Applicant(s)

FRYE, NANCY C.

Examiner

Marie Patterson

Art Unit

3728

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 5-9, 11, 14-16, 19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6, 7 and 9 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 5, 8, 11, 14-16, 19, and 20 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Species IX, figure 14 in the reply filed on 9/03/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
2. Claims 6, 7, and 9 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 9/3/04.

Drawings

3. The drawings were received on 10/26/05. These drawings are not entered because they contain new matter, i.e. the thickness, shape, exact location, etc. of the midsole is considered to be new matter. In response applicants' arguments directed towards the new matter added to the drawings in order to show the midsole, it is noted that it is applicants' responsibility when originally filing the application to ensure that all claimed elements are clearly shown in the drawings, the addition of elements at a later date in most cases does add new matter and will not be entered.
4. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the midsole(s) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate

prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

5. The drawings are objected to because the specification states that 824 is a point at $\frac{1}{2}$ the length of the shoe, however the point at 824 in figure 14 appears to be clearly in the forefoot portion of the shoe, this is confusing. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement

Art Unit: 3728

sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 5, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Snabb (5491912).

First it is noted that the elected species was first disclosed in parent application 09/688308 filed 10/13/2000 and therefore the subject matter is only given the benefit date of 10/13/2000. Snabb shows a shoe with an upper, insole (24), and planar surfaced outsole (20, see figures 2 and 5 and the description of such) with the claimed shape (described in column 3 lines 41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40). In reference to claim 4, the location shown and described by Snabb appears to be the same location shown in applicants' figure 14 and is considered to be "substantially halfway" as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 8, 14, 16, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snabb.

Snabb shows a shoe substantially as claimed except for a plurality of midsoles located between the insole and outsole. Official notice is taken that the use of midsoles between insoles and outsole is extremely well known and conventional and since applicant has not shown such or provided any detail of such, it is assumed that applicant is claiming a well known and conventional midsole(s). It would have been obvious to provide a midsole(s) in the shoe of Snabb is well known and conventional to increase comfort and cushioning.

10. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Snabb in view of Borgeas (3990159).

Snabb as discussed above shows a shoe with an insole substantially as claimed except for the insole being "removable". Official notice is taken that it is well known and conventional to allow insoles to be removable to allow orthotic inserts to be used, insoles and/or shoes to be laundered, to adjust worn elements, etc. In response to

applicants' request, Borgeas has been applied as showing the well known and conventional practice of allowing inserts/insoles to be removable and replaceable. It would have been obvious to make the insole removable as is well known and conventional and taught/shown by Borgeas in the shoe of Snabb to provide any of the known benefits of removability as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 11/7/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards the drawing objections, most of these arguments have been addressed above. It is noted that the proposed drawing amendment filed 1/30/06 figure 14, applicant has not only added midsoles and moved the location of the number 824, but also changed the location where the first portion (820) and second portion (826) meet. This is considered to raise new matter also. The shape shown in figure 14 of the original drawings shows an insole with a shape in which the location of the meeting of the portions 820 and 826 is considered to be "approximately halfway" (emphasis added) as recited in the specification originally filed. It would be admissible if applicant were to file drawings in which only number 824 is moved to the location shown in originally filed drawings as the point where the portions 820 and 826 meet.

In response to applicants' arguments directed towards Snabb, Snabb clearly states that an insole is the layer which has the structure as claimed, this is specifically discussed and clearly disclosed in Snabb in column 2 lines 15-45 and in column 3 lines

Art Unit: 3728

41-50 and column 4 lines 35-40. The flatness argued by applicant clearly refers to the upper surface being flat, not referring to the thickness of the insole as Snabb clearly states that the insole is sloped and that the thickness of the insole varies (for example see column 2 lines 14-60). In reference to figure 2, it appears that this figure merely shown the combination of the insole and the outsole without specifically showing where the two meet. Furthermore it is noted that Snabb clearly states in column 4 lines 50-55 that the slope angle can be adjusted by placing inserts on the inner sole. It is further noted that Snaab clearly shows the insole and outsole as separate layers in figure 5.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (572)273-8300 **(FORMAL FAXES ONLY)**. Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.



Marie Patterson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728